PCSP 2016–PCMH 2014 Crosswalk

The table compares NCQA's Patient-Centered Specialty Practice (PCSP) 2016 standards with NCQA's Patient-Centered Medical Home (PCMH) 2014 standards. The column on the right identifies items that are the same or similar and notes differences.

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP 2016 +Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment	**PCMH 2014 +Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP 2016-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
PCSP 1: Working with Primary Care and Other Referring Clinicians The practice coordinates with primary care and referring clinicians to ensure timely information exchange. ***22 points***		
Element 1A: Establishing Relationships With Primary Care and Other Referring Clinicians ***4 points*** The practice: 1. Works with frequently referring clinicians to set expectations for information sharing and patient care. 2. Has agreements with a subset of primary care or other referring clinicians. **Documentation** • *Factor 1:* Materials and description of practice activities. • *Factor 2:* At least two examples of agreements. **Scoring** 100%: 1-2 factors 75%: No scoring option 50%: No scoring option 25%: No scoring option 0%: 0 factors	**MUST-PASS** **CRITICAL FACTOR = FACTOR 8** **Element 5B: Referral Tracking and Follow-Up** ***6 points*** The practice: 1. Considers available performance information on consultants/specialists when making referral recommendations 2. Maintains formal and informal agreements with a subset of specialists based on established criteria 3. Maintains agreements with behavioral healthcare providers 4. Integrates behavioral healthcare providers within the practice site 5. Gives the consultant or specialist the clinical question, the required timing and the type of referral 6. Gives the consultant or specialist pertinent demographic and clinical data, including test results and the current care plan 7. Has the capacity for electronic exchange of key clinical information+ and provides an electronic summary of care record to another provider for more than 10 percent of referrals+	• *General:* PCSP Elements 1A-D align with PCMH 2014 Element 5B. Factors do not align exactly because responsibilities between specialty practices and primary care practices differ. – *PCSP:* Evaluates the referral process and agreement with PCPs and other referring clinicians. – *PCMH:* Evaluates the referral, referral tracking and follow-up by the primary care practice. • PCSP Element, 1A aligns with PCMH Element 5B, factor 2. • PCSP Element 1B, factors 1 and 2 have no PCMH equivalent. • PCSP Element 1B, factor 3; Element 1C, factors 1-6; and Element 5B, factors 2 and 3 align with PCMH Element 5B, factors 5, 6 and 8. • PCSP Element 1B, factor 4 has no PCMH equivalent. • PCSP Element 1B, factor 5 and PCSP Element 5B, factor 5 align with PCMH Element 5B, factor 9, with these differences: – *PCSP:* Specifies co-management or transition strategy for selected patients.

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP 2016 +Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment	**PCMH 2014 +Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP 2016-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
	8. Tracks referrals until the consultant or specialist's report is available, flagging and following up on overdue reports	
MUST-PASS **Element 1B: Managing Initial Referrals** ***4 points*** The practice has a written process that it implements for managing all initial referrals that includes: 1. How the specialist confirms the receipt and acceptance of the referral, with the date and time of the patient's appointment. 2. What information the specialist needs from the referring clinician to answer the clinical question. 3. When the specialist will send a response to the referring clinician and what information will be included. 4. Which clinician is responsible for communicating with the patient/family/caregiver about test results and the specialist's plan of care. 5. The co-management or transition strategy for selected patients. **Documentation** • *Factors 1-5:* Documented process, materials or agreements, ***and*** three examples of implementation. **Scoring** 100%: 5 factors 75%: 4 factors 50%: 2-3 factors 25%: No scoring option 0%: 0-1 factors	9. Documents co-management arrangements in the patient's medical record 10. Asks patients/families about self-referrals and requesting reports from clinicians **Documentation** • *Factors 1,5,6,8:* Documented process and at least one example. • *Factors 2,3:* For each factor, the practice provides at least one example. • *Factor 4:* Materials explaining how behavioral health is integrated with physical health. • *Factor 7:* Report based on at least three months of data with numerator, denominator and percent. • *Factor 9,10:* The practice provides at least three examples. **Scoring** 100%: 9-10 factors (including factor 8) 75%: 7-8 factors(including factor 8) 50%: 4-6 factors (including factor 8) 25%: 2-3 factors 0%: 0-1 factors	

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP 2016 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment	**PCMH 2014 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP 2016-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
Element 1C: Assessing Initial Referral Content **3 *points*** The practice sets expectations and monitors against those expectations to confirm receipt of information needed in referrals from clinicians: 1. Clinical question to be answered by the referral. 2. Type of referral. 3. Urgency of referral. 4. Patient demographics. 5. Clinical information. 6. Current primary practice care plan, treatment, test results and procedures. 7. Which clinician is responsible for communicating with patient/family/caregiver. **Documentation** • *Factors 1-7:* Documented process, ***and*** 1 month of data or 30 new referrals **Scoring** 100%: 5-7 factors 75%: 3-4 factors 50%: 1-2 factors 25%: No scoring option 0%: 0 factors		

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP 2016 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment	**PCMH 2014 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP 2016-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
MUST-PASS **Element 1D: Assessing Initial Referral Response** ***4 points*** The practice has a written process an monitors against it to ensure a timely response to PCPs and referring clinicians that includes: • Tracking when the referring provider was notified of the receipt of the referral and the time and date of the patient appointment. • Answer(s) to clinical question(s) in referral. • Diagnosis. • Procedures and test results. • The specialist's recommended plan of care. • Follow-up needed with specialist, including further coordination. • Tracking and monitoring timeliness of referral response. • Electronic transmission of a summary of care record to another provider, for more than 10 percent of referrals.+ **Documentation** • *Factors 1-7:* Documented process. • *Factor 1:* Report showing referring clinician was notified of receipt of referral on 30 new referrals or 1 month. • *Factors 2-6:* Report showing information provided to primary or referring clinician on 30 new referrals or 1 month. • *Factor 7:* Report showing when specialist sent the referral response to referring clinical on 30 new referrals or 1 month. • *Factor 8:* Report based on at least three months of data with numerator, denominator and percent. **Scoring** 100%: 6-8 factors		

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP 2016 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment	**PCMH 2014 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP 2016-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
75%: 4-5 factors 50%: 3 factors 25%: 1-2 factors 0%: 0 factors		
Element 1E: Transition to Primary Care ***4 points*** The practice has a documented process for transitioning co-managed patients back to primary care by: 1. Identifying patients who are ready to transition back to primary care. 2. Sharing clinical information with the primary care clinician. 3. Communicating with the patient/family/caregiver about the care transition. **Documentation** • *Factors 1-3:* Documented process for identifying patients, sharing information and communicating about the care transition. **Scoring** 100%: 3 factors 75%: 2 factors 50%: 1 factors 25%: No scoring option 0%: 0 factors	**NA**	**NA**
Element 1F: Connecting Patients With Primary Care ***3 points*** The practice implements a documented process for connecting self-referred patients with primary care clinicians that includes: 1. Identifying and documenting the patient's primary care clinician.	**NA**	**NA**

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP 2016 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment	**PCMH 2014 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP 2016-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
2. Determining if a patient's primary care clinician needs to be contacted prior to treatment. 3. Communicating to patients the importance of following up with their primary care clinician. 4. Providing information on available primary care clinicians to patients without a primary care clinician. 5. For self-referred patients with a primary care clinician, providing a summary of care report to the primary care clinician. **Documentation** • *Factors 1-5:* Documented process. • *Factor 1:* Three examples or report. • *Factor 2:* Example demonstrating implementation. • *Factor 3:* Example of materials or script. • *Factor 4:* Example of materials. • *Factor 5:* De-identified summary of care report sent to primary care. **Scoring** 100%: 5 factors 75%: 4 factors 50%: 3 factors 25%: 1-2 factors 0%: 0 factors		

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP 2016 **+ Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCMH 2014** **+ Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP 2016-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
PCMH 2: Provide Access and Communication The practice provides timely access to culturally and linguistically appropriate team-based clinical advice and care that meets the needs of patients/families/caregivers. ***18 points***	**PCMH 1: Patient-Centered Access** The practice provides access to team-based care for both routine and urgent needs of patients/families/ caregivers at all times. ***10 points***	
Element 2A: Access ***5 points*** The practice has a written process and defined standards, and demonstrates that it monitors performance against the standards to: 1. Provide patient appointments based on patient need. 2. Provide same day appointments. 3. Provide nonvisit consultations with referring clinicians. 4. Provide timely clinical advice to patients who contact the office when the office is open. 5. Provide timely clinical advice to patients who contact the office when the office is closed. 6. Document clinical advice to established patients in the patient medical record. 7. Provide equal access to accepted patients regardless of source of payment. 8. Provide uninsured patients with information about obtaining coverage. **Documentation** • *Factors 1-8:* Documented process. • *Factors 1,2,4-6:* Report with at least 5 days of data. • *Factor 3:* Three examples ***or*** report with at least 5 days of data • *Factor 8:* Materials. **Scoring** 100%: 6-8 factors 75%: 4-5 factors	**MUST-PASS** **CRITICAL FACTOR = FACTOR 1** **Element 1A: Patient-Centered Appointment Access** ***4.5 points*** The practice has a written process and defined standards for providing access to appointments, and regularly assesses its performance on: 1.Providing same-day appointments for routine and urgent care 2.Providing routine and urgent-care appointments outside regular business hours 3.Providing alternative types of clinical encounters 4.Availability of appointments 5.Monitoring no show rates 6.Acting on identified opportunities to improve access **Documentation** • *Factors 1-6:* Documented process and • *Factor 1:* Report with at least 5 days of data showing same-day access. • *Factor 2:* Report with at least 5 days of data showing after hours availability **or** materials provided to patients. • *Factor 3:* Report with frequency of scheduled alternative encounter types in a recent 30-calendar-day period. • *Factor 4:* Report with at least 5 days of data showing appointment wait times compared to practice defined	• PCSP Element 2A, factor 1 has no PCMH equivalent. • PCSP Element 2A, factor 2 aligns with PCMH Element 1A, factor 1, with this difference: – *PCMH:* Specifies appointments are for routine and urgent care. • PCSP Element 2A, factor 3 has no PCMH equivalent. • PCSP Element 2A, factor 7 aligns with PCMH Element 2B, factor 6. • PCSP Element 2A, factor 8 aligns with PCMH Element 2B, factor 7.

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP 2016 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment	**PCMH 2014 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP 2016-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
50%: 2-3 factors 25%: 1 factor 0% 0 factors	standards including a policy for how the practice monitors appointment availability. • *Factor 5:* Report showing rate of now shows from a recent 30-calendar-day period. • *Factor 6:* Report showing the practice selected an opportunity and took action to improve access. **Scoring** 100%: 5-6 factors (including factor 1) 75%: 3-4 factors (including factor 1) 50%: 2 factors (including factor 1) 25%: Factor 1 (not just any 1 factor) 0%: 0 factors (or does not meet factor 1)	
	CRITICAL FACTOR = FACTOR 2 **Element 1B: 24/7 Access to Clinical Advice** ***3.5 points*** The practice has a written process and defined standards for providing access to clinical advice and continuity of medical record information at all times, and regularly assesses its performance on: 1. Providing continuity of medical record information for care and advice when the office is closed 2. Providing timely clinical advice by telephone 3. Providing timely clinical advice using a secure, interactive electronic system 4. Documenting clinical advice in patient records **Documentation** • *Factors 1-4:* Documented process for arranging after-hours access, making medical records available after hours, providing timely advice after hours, documenting advice after hours and	• PCSP Element 2A, factors 4 and 5 align with PCMH Element 1B, factors 2 and 3, with these differences: – *PCSP:* Does not specify mode of communication. – *PCMH:* Mode of communication specifies telephone and secure electronic message. • PCSP Element 2A, factor 6 aligns with PCMH Element 1B, factor 4. • PCMH Element 1B, factor 1 has no PCSP equivalent.

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP 2016 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment	**PCMH 2014 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP 2016-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
	• *Factors 2,3*: Report with at least 7 calendar days of data showing after hours calls/emails, response times. • *Factor 4*: Three examples of clinical advice or report with percent documented advice in record.	

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP 2016 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment	**PCMH 2014 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP 2016-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
	Scoring 100% : 4 factors (including factor 2) 75%: 3 factors (including factor 2) 50%: 2 factors (including factor 2) 25%: 1 factor (or does not meet factor 2) 0%: 0 factors (or does not meet factor 2)	
Element 2B: Electronic Access ***3 points*** The practice provides the following information and services to patients/families/caregivers through a secure electronic system. 1. More than 50 percent of patients have timely access to their health information.+ 2. The capability to view, download or transmit their health information to a third party.+ 3. Clinical summaries are provided to patients/families/caregivers upon request. 4. The capability to send a secure message.+ 5. Two-way communication between patients/families/caregivers and the practice. 6. Request for appointments, prescription refills, referrals and test results. **Documentation**: • *Factor 1:* Report based on numerator and denominator for at least 3 months of data in the electronic system. • *Factors 2, 4:* Example of capability *or* report based on numerator and denominator for at least 3 months of data in the electronic system. • *Factor 3:* Report or example of clinical summary. • *Factors 5, 6:* Screen shots demonstrating capability.	**Element 1C: Electronic Access** ***2 points*** The following information and services are provided to patients/families/caregivers, as specified, through a secure electronic system. 1. More than 50 percent of patients have timely access to their health information+ 2. The capability to view, download or transmit their health information to a third party+ 3. Clinical summaries are provided to patients/families/caregivers upon request 4. The capability to send a secure message+ 5. Patients have two-way communication with the practice 6. Patients can request appointments, prescription refills, referrals and test results **Documentation** • *Factors 1:* Report based on numerator and denominator for at least 3 months of data in the electronic system. • *Factors 2,4:* Example of capability *or* report based on numerator and denominator for at least 3 months of data in the electronic system. • *Factor 3:* Report *or* example of clinical summary. • *Factors 5, 6:* Screen shots demonstrating capability. **Scoring** 100%: 5-6 factors	• PCSP Element 2B, factors 1-4 align with PCMH Element 1C, factors 1-4. Factor language in both programs reflects Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 requirements released in October 2015. PCSP factor 5 aligns with PCMH factor 5, with these differences: – *PCSP:* Includes communication with families and caregivers. • PCSP Element 2B, factor 6 aligns with PCMH Element 1C, factor 6.

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP 2016 **+ Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCMH 2014** **+ Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP 2016-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
Scoring 100%: 5-6 factors 75%: 4 factors 50%: 3 factors 25%: 1-2 factors 0%: 0 factors	75%: 3-4 factors 50%: 2 factors 25%: 1 factor 0%: 0 factors	

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP 2016 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment	**PCMH 2014 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP 2016-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
	PCMH 2: Team-Based Care The practice provides continuity of care using culturally and linguistically appropriate, team-based approaches. ***12 points***	
NA **Continuity with a provider is not expected for specialty practices.**	**Element 2A: Continuity** ***3 points*** The practice provides continuity of care for patients/familiesby: 1. Assisting patients/families to select a personal clinician and documenting the selection in practice records 2. Monitoring the percentage of patient visits with selected clinician or team. 3. Having a process to orient new patients to the practice 4. Collaborating with the patient/family to develop/implement a written care plan for patients transitioning from pediatric care to adult care **Documentation** 4. *Factor 1:* Documented process for clinician selection and example showing patient's choice of clinician on record. 5. *Factor 2:* Report with at least 5 days of data showing patient encounters with the personal clinician. 6. *Factor 3:* Documented process outlining the process to orient patients to the practice. 7. *Factor 4:* For pediatric practices, an example of a written transition care plan; for family medicine practices a documented process and materials for outreach; for internal medicine practices a documented process. **Scoring** 100%: 3-4 factors 75%: No scoring option 50%: 2 factors 25%: 1 factor 0%: 0 factors Solo practitioners may mark yes for factors 1 and 2 and indicate that they are the sole personal clinician for the practice in the Support Text/Notes box in the Survey Tool.	**NA**

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP 2016 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment	**PCMH 2014 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP 2016 -PCMH 2014 Alignment**
Element 2C: Specialty Practice Responsibilities ***3 points*** The practice has a process for informing patients/families/caregivers about the role of the specialist and gives patients/family/caregivers materials that contain the following information: 1. Instructions for obtaining care and clinical advice during office hours and when the office is closed. 2. Methods, content and frequency of communication with the patient. 3. Coordination of care between the primary care clinician and the referring clinician, the specialist and the patient/family/caregiver. **Documentation** • *Factors 1-3:* Documented process, ***and*** materials. **Scoring** 100%: 3 factors 75%: No scoring option 50%: 2 factors 25%: 1 factor 0%: 0 factors	**Element 2B: Medical Home Responsibilities** ***2.5 points*** The practice has a process for informing patients/families about the role of the medical home and gives patients/families materials that contain the following information: 1. The practice is responsible for coordinating patient care across multiple settings 2. Instructions for obtaining care and clinical advice during office hours and when the office is closed 3. The practice functions most effectively as a medical home if patients provide a complete medical history and information about care obtained outside the practice 4. The care team provides access to evidence-based care, patient/family education and self-management support 5. The scope of services available within the practice including how behavioral health needs are addressed 6. The practice provides equal access to all of their patients regardless of source of payment 7. The practice gives uninsured patients information about obtaining coverage 8. Instructions on transferring records to the practice, including a point of contact at the practice **Documentation** • *Factors 1-8:* Dated documented process for providing information to patients ***and*** patient materials. **Scoring:** 100%: 7-8 factors 75%: 5-6 factors 50%: 3-4 factors 25%: 1-2 factors	8. *General:* PCMH Element 2B and PCSP Element 2C both provide patients with *information* about the role of the practice and the expectations of both the patient and the practice. Factors do not align exactly because responsibilities between specialty practices and primary care practices differ. 9. PCMH Element 2B, factor 2 aligns with PCSP Element 2C, factor 1. 10. PCMH Element 2B, factor 6 aligns with PCSP Element 2A, factor 7. 11. PCMH Element 2B, factor 7 aligns with PCSP Element 2A, factor 8.

	0%: 0 factors	

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP 2016 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment	**PCMH 2014 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP 2016-PCMH 2014 Alignment**

Element 2D: Culturally and Linguistically Appropriate Services (CLAS) **3 *points*** The practice engages in activities to understand and meet the cultural and linguistic needs of its patients/families/caregivers: 1. Assessing the diversity of its population. 2. Assessing the language needs of its population. 3. Providing interpretation or bilingual services to meet the language needs of its population. 4. Providing printed materials in the languages of its population. **Documentation** • *Factors 1 and 2:* A report showing diversity and language composition of the practice's patients. • *Factor 3:* Documentation or policy for interpretive services. • *Factor 4:* Materials in languages other than English needed of the practice's population. **Scoring** 100%: 4 factors 75%: 3 factors 50%: 2 factors 25%: 1 factor 0%: 0 factors	**Element 2C: Culturally and Linguistically Appropriate Services** ***2.5 points*** The practice engages in activities to understand and meet the cultural and linguistic needs of its patients/families by: 1. Assessing the diversity of its population 2. Assessing the language needs of its population 3. Providing interpretation or bilingual services to meet the language needs of its population 4. Providing printed materials in the languages of its population **Documentation** • *Factors 1 and 2:* Report showing the practices assessment of racial, ethnic and language composition of its patient population. • *Factor 3:* Documented process for providing bilingual services. • *Factor 4:* Patient materials. **Scoring** 100%: 4 factors 75%: 3 factors 50%: 2 factors 25%: 1 factor 0%: 0 factors	• PCSP Element 2D, factors 1-4 align with PCMH Element 2C, factors 1-4.

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP 2016 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment	**PCMH 2014 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP 2016-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
MUST-PASS **2E: The Practice Team** ***4 points*** The practice uses a team to provide a range of patient care services by: 1. Defining roles for clinical and nonclinical team members. 2. Having regular team meetings or a structured communication process focused on patients. 3. Using standing orders for services. 4. Training and assigning members of the care team to coordinate care for individual patients. 5. Training and assigning members of the care team to support patients/families/caregivers in self-management, self-efficacy and behavior change. 6. Involving care team staff in the practice's performance evaluation and quality improvement activities. 7. Holding regular practice team meetings. **Documentation** • *Factors 1, 4, 5:* Staff position descriptions or other materials describing staff roles and functions. • *Factor 2:* Description of structured team communication ***and*** three examples. • *Factor 3:* Example of standing orders. • *Factors 4, 5:* Description of training process ***and*** training schedule or training materials. • *Factor 6:* Description ***or*** meeting minutes showing staff involvement in performance evaluation and improvement. • *Factor 7:* Description of practice team meetings ***and*** three examples. **Scoring** 100%: 5-7 factors	**MUST-PASS** **CRITICAL FACTOR = FACTOR 3** **Element 2D: The Practice Team** ***4 points*** The practice uses a team to provide a range of patient care services by: 1. Defining roles for clinical and nonclinical team members 2. Identifying practice organizational structure and staff leading and sustaining team based care 3. Having regular patient care team meetings or a structured communication process focused on individual patient care 4. Using standing orders for services 5. Training and assigning members of the care team to coordinate care for individual patients 6. Training and assigning members of the care team to support patients/families/caregivers in self-management, self-efficacy and behavior change 7. Training and assigning members of the care team to manage the patient population 8. Holding regular team meetings addressing practice functioning 9. Involving care team staff in the practice's performance evaluation and quality improvement activities 10. Involving patients/families/caregivers in quality improvement activities or on the practice's advisory council **Documentation** • *Factors 1,5,6,7:* Staff position descriptions or responsibilities and	• PCSP Element 2E, factor 1 aligns with PCMH Element 2D, factor 1. • PCSP Element 2E, factor 2 aligns with PCMH Element 2D, factor 3, with this difference: – *PCMH:* Specifies that the team meeting is about patient care and the structured communication process focuses on individual patient care. • PCSP Element 2E, factor 3 aligns with PCMH Element 2D, factor 4. • PCSP Element 2E, factor 4 aligns with PCMH Element 2D, factor 5, with this difference: – *PCMH:* Specifies care is coordinated for individual patients. • PCSP Element 2E, factor 5 aligns with PCMH Element 2D, factor 6. • PCSP Element 2E, factor 6 aligns with PCMH Element 2D, factor 9. • PCSP Element 2E, factor 7 aligns with PCMH Element 2D, factor 8, with this difference: – *PCMH:* Specifies the regular practice team meeting addresses practice function. • PCMH Element 2D, factors 7 and 10 have no PCSP equivalent.

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP 2016 **+ Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCMH 2014** **+ Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP 2016-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
75%: 4 factors 50%: 3 factors 25%: 1-2 factors 0%: 0 factors	• *Factor 2:* Overview of staffing structure for team-based care. • *Factor 3:* Description of staff communication processes and at least three examples. • *Factor 4:* At least one example of written standing orders. • *Factors 5-7:* Description of training process and schedule **or** materials showing how staff are trained. • *Factor 8:* Description of staff communication processes and at least one example. • *Factor 9:* Dated documented process for quality improvement. • *Factor 10:* Dated documented process demonstrating how it involves patients/families in QI teams or advisory council. **Scoring** 100%: 10 factors (including factor 3) 75%: 8-9 factors (including factor 3) 50%: 5-7 factors (including factor 3) 25%: 2-4 factors (or does not meet factor 3) 0%: 0-1 factors (or does not meet factor 3)	

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP 2016 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment	**PCMH 2014 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP 2016-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
PCSP 3: Identify and Coordinate Patient Populations The practice systematically records patient information and uses it to coordinate care for patient populations. ***10 points***	**PCMH 3: Population Health Management** The practice uses a comprehensive health assessment and evidence-based decision support based on complete patient information and clinical data to manage the health of its entire patient population. **20 points**	
Element 3A: Patient Information ***2 points*** The practice uses an electronic system that records the following as structured (searchable) data for more than 80 percent of the patients. 1. Date of birth. 2. Sex. 3. Race. 4. Ethnicity. 5. Preferred language. 6. Telephone numbers. 7. E-mail address. 8. Name and contact information of primary caregiver. 9. Occupation. (NA for pediatric practices) 10. Presence of advance directives. 11. Health insurance information. 12. Name and contact information of primary care clinician. 13. Name and contact information of other specialists. 14. Practice-patient relationship status. **Documentation** • *Factors 1-12:* Report with numerator and denominator based on at least 3 months of data. • *Factors 13, 14:* Documented process **and** three examples of implementation. **Scoring**	**Element 3A: Patient Information** ***3 points*** The practice uses an electronic system to records patient information, including capturing information for factors 1–13 as structured (searchable) data for more than 80 percent of its patients: 1. Date of birth 2. Sex 3. Race 4. Ethnicity 5. Preferred language 6. Telephone numbers 7. E-mail address 8. Occupation (NA for pediatric practices) 9. Dates of previous clinical visits 10. Legal guardian/health care proxy 11. Primary caregiver 12. Presence of advance directives (NA for pediatric practices) 13. Health insurance information 14. Name and contact information of other health care professionals involved in patient's care **Documentation** • *Factors 1-13:* Report with numerator and denominator with at least 3 months of data.	• PCSP Element 3A, factors 1-7 align with PCMH Element 3A, factors 1-7. • PCSP Element 3A, factor 8 aligns with PCMH Element 3A, factor 11. • PCSP Element 3A, factor 9 aligns with PCMH Element 3A, factor 8. • PCSP Element 3A, factor 10 aligns with PCMH Element 3A, factor 12, with this difference: – *PCMH:* Not applicable for pediatric practices. • PCSP Element 3A, factor 11 aligns with PCMH Element 3A, factor 13. • PCSP Element 3A, factor 12 and 13 align with PCMH Element 3A, factor14. • PCSP Element 3A, factor 14 has no PCMH equivalent. • PCMH Element 3A, factor 9 and 10 have no PCSP equivalent.

100%: 10-14 factors 75%: 8-9 factors 50%: 5-7 factors 25%: 3-4 factors 0%: 0-2 factors	• *Factor 14*: Documented process process and 3 examples. Factor 14 information does not need to be captured in structured data fields. **Scoring** 100%: 10-14 factors 75%: 8-9 factors 50%: 5-7 factors 25%: 3-4 factors 0%: 0-2 factors	

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP 2016 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment	**PCMH 2014 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP 2016-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
Element 3B: Clinical Data ***2 points*** The practice uses an electronic system to record the following as structured (searchable) data. 1. An up-to-date problem list that includes current and active diagnoses for more than 80 percent of patients. 2. Allergies, including medication allergies and adverse reactions for more than 80 percent of patients. 3. Blood pressure, including the date of update, for more than 80 percent of patients 3 years and older. 4. Height/length for more than 80 percent of patients. 5. Weight for more than 80 percent of patients. 6. BMI, which is calculated and displayed (NA for pediatric practices). 7. Growth charts (length/height, weight and head circumference (less than 2 years of age)) and BMI percentile (0–20 years) (NA for adult practices). 8. Status of tobacco use for patients 13 years and older for more than 80 percent of patients. 9. List of prescription medications, including date of updates, for more than 80 percent of patients (NA if the practice demonstrates that it does not prescribe medications). 10. Family health history, for more than 20 percent of patients. 11. An electronic progress note that can be created, edited and signed by an eligible professional. **Documentation** • *Factors 1-5, 8-10:* Reports with a numerator and denominator based on at least three months of data. • *Factors 6, 7:* Screen shots demonstrating capability. • *Factor 11:* At least one example demonstrating use or capability.	**Element 3B: Clinical Data** ***4 points*** The practice uses an electronic system with the functionality in factors 6 and 7 and records the information in factors 1–5 and 8–11 as structured (searchable) data. 1. An up-to-date problem list with current and active diagnoses for more than 80 percent of patients 2. Allergies, including medication allergies and adverse reactions for more than 80 percent of patients 3. Blood pressure, with the date of update for more than 80 percent of patients 3 years and older 4. Height/length for more than 80 percent of patients 5. Weight for more than 80 percent of patients 6. System calculates and displays BMI 7. System plots and displays growth charts (length/height, weight and head circumference) and BMI percentile (0-20 years) (NA for adult practices) 8. Status of tobacco use for patients 13 years and older for more than 80 percent of patients 9. List of prescription medications with date of updates for more than 80 percent of patients 10. More than 20 percent of patients have family history recorded as structured data 11. An electronic progress note that can be created, edited and signed by an eligible professional **Documentation** • *Factors 1-5, 8-10:* Reports with a numerator and denominator. • *Factors 6, 7:* Screen shots demonstrating capability. • *Factor 11:* At least one example demonstrating use or capability ***or*** a report with a numerator and denominator.	• PCSP Element 3B, factors 1 and 2 align with PCMH Element 3B, factors 1 and 2. • PCSP Element 3B, factors 3-5 align with PCMH Element 3B, factors 3-5 • PCSP Element 3B, factor 6 aligns with PCMH Element 3B, factor 6, with this difference: – *PCMH:* Not applicable for pediatric practices. PCSP Element 3B, factor 7 aligns with PCMH Element 3B, factor 7. • PCSP Element 3B, factor 8 aligns with PCMH Element 3B, factor 8 • PCSP Element 3B, factor 9 aligns with PCMH Element 3B, factor 9, with this difference: – PCSP*:* Not applicable for practices that do not prescribe medication. • PCSP Element 3B, factor 10 aligns with PCMH Element 3B, factor 10 • PCSP Element 3B, factor 11 aligns with PCMH Element 3B, factor 11. Requirements reflect Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 requirements released in October 2015.

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP 2016 **+ Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCMH 2014** **+ Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP 2016-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
Scoring 100%: 9-11 factors 75%: 7-8 factors 50%: 5-6 factors 25%: 3-4 factors 0%: 0-2 factors	**Scoring** 100%: 9-11 factors 75%: 7-8 factors 50%: 5-6 factors 25%: 3-4 factors 0%: 0-2 factors	

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP 2016 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment	**PCMH 2014 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP 2016-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
NA	**Element 3C: Comprehensive Health Assessment** ***4 points*** To understand the health risks and information needs of patients/families, the practice collects and regularly updates a comprehensive health assessment that includes: 1. Age- and gender appropriate immunizations and screenings 2. Family/social/cultural characteristics 3. Communication needs 4. Medical history of patient and family 5. Advance care planning (NA for pediatric practices) 6. Behaviors affecting health 7. Mental health/substance use history of patient and family 8. Developmental screening using a standardized tool (NA for practices with no pediatric patients) 9. Depression screening for adults and adolescents using a standardized tool 10. Assessment of health literacy **Documentation** • *Factors 1-10:* Documentation requires the practice to provide: – Practice system generated report with a numerator and denominator based on all unique patients in a recent 3 month period. The report must clearly indicate how many patients had an assessment for each factor. The report must indicate that data was entered in the medical record for more than 50 percent in order for the practice to respond "yes" to each factor in the survey tool ***OR*** – Review the patient records selected for the medical record review as required in elements 4B and 4C and document presence or absence of the information in the Record Review Workbook. If using the Record Review Workbook examples are required demonstrating how each factor is documented. • *Factors 8, 9:* In addition to the report described above, the practice must provide a completed form (de-identified) for each factor.	**NA**

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP 2016 **+ Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCMH 2014** **+ Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP 2016-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
	Scoring 100%: 8-10 factors 75%: 6-7 factors 50%: 4-5 factors 25%: 2-3 factors 0%: 0-1 factors	
Element 3C: Implement Evidence-Based Reminders for Specialty Care ***3 points*** For patients with whom it has an ongoing relationship, the practices uses patient information, clinical data and evidence-based guidelines to proactively remind patients/families/caregivers of needed services for: 1. A condition-related service. 2. A second condition-related service. 3. A third condition-related service. **Documentation** • *Factors 1-3*: Reports or lists of patients within the past 12 months ***and*** materials showing how patients are notified. ***Note:*** *For all factors, the practice must identify three different services needed by specialty practice patients. The services are intended to be associated with conditions handled by the specialty, such as a follow-up retinal exam conducted by an ophthalmology practice.* **Scoring** 100%: 3 factors 75%: 2 factors 50%: No scoring option 25%: 1 factor 0%: 0 factors	**MUST-PASS** **Element 3D: Use Data for Population Management** ***5 points*** At least annually the practice proactively identifies populations of patients and reminds them, or their families/caregivers, of needed care based on patient information, clinical data, health assessments and evidence-based guidelines including: 1. At least two different preventive care services 2. At least two different immunizations 3. At least three different chronic or acute care services 4. Patients not recently seen by the practice 5. Medication monitoring or alert **Documentation** • *Factors 1-5:* Lists or summary reports of patients who need services within past 12 mo. (Health plan data okay if 75% of patient population) and • *Factors 1-5:* Materials showing how patients were notified for each service. The practice must perform these functions at least annually and make Documentation of each reminder available to NCQA upon request. **Scoring** 100%: 4-5 factors 75%: 3 factors 50%: 1-2 factors	• *General:* PCMH Element 3D and PCSP Element 3C both evaluate whether the practice uses patient information, clinical data and evidence-based guidelines to manage patient populations.

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP 2016 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment	**PCMH 2014 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP 2016-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
	25%: No scoring option 0%: 0 factors	
Element 3D: Implement Evidence-Based Decision Support ***3 points*** The practice implements clinical decision-support interventions+ (e.g., point-of-care reminders) following evidence-based guidelines for conditions appropriate to the services it provides: 1. The practice implements a first clinical decision-support intervention.+ 2. The practice implements a second clinical decision-support intervention.+ 3. The practice implements a third clinical decision-support intervention.+ 4. The practice implements a fourth clinical decision-support intervention.+ 5. The practice implements a fifth clinical decision-support intervention.+ **Documentation** • *Factors 1-5*: Condition, source of guideline, and an example of guideline implementation for each intervention. **Scoring** 100%: 5 factors 75%: 4 factors 50%: 3 factors 25%: 2 factors 0%: 0-1 factors	**CRITICAL FACTOR = FACTOR 1** **Element 3E: Implement Evidence-Based Decision Support** ***4 points*** The practice implements clinical decision support + (e.g. point-of-care reminders) following evidence-based guidelines for: 1. A mental health or substance use disorder+ 2. A chronic medical condition+ 3. An acute condition+ 4. A condition related to unhealthy behaviors+ 5. Well child or adult care+ 6. Overuse/appropriateness issues+ **Documentation** • *Factors 1-6:* For each factor, provide: (1) condition, (2) source of guidelines used for the condition and (3) an example that demonstrates how guidelines are implemented for patients at the point of care. **Scoring** 100%: 5-6 factors (including factor 1) 75%: 4 factors (including factor 1) 50%: 3 factors 25%: 1-2 factors 0%: 0 factors	• *General:* PCMH Element 3E and PCSP Element 3D both evaluate whether a practice implements clinical decision support. • *PCMH* outlines specific conditions relevant to primary care, while *PCSP* leaves it up to the practice to determine interventions appropriate to its specialty.

PCSP 4: Plan and Manage Care The practice collaborates with the referring clinician and the patient/family/caregiver to plan and manage care and provide self-care support. ***18 points***	**PCMH 4: Care Management and Support** The practice systematically identifies individual patients and plans, manages and coordinates care, based on need. ***20 points***	
NA	**CRITICAL FACTOR = FACTOR 6** **Element 4A: Identify Patients for Care Management** ***4 points*** The practice establishes a systematic process and criteria for identifying patients who may benefit from care management. The process includes consideration of the following: 1. Behavioral health conditions 2. High cost/high utilization 3. Poorly controlled or complex conditions 4. Social determinants of health 5. Referrals by outside organizations (e.g., insurers, health system, ACO), practice staff or patient/family/caregiver 6. The practice monitors the percentage of the total patient population identified through its process and criteria **Documentation** • *Factors 1-5*: Criteria for identifying patients. • *Factor 6:* Report showing number and percentage of patients identified as likely to benefit from care management through one or any combination of the other five factors or other criteria determined by the practice. **Scoring** 100%: 5-6 factors 75%: 4 factors (including factor 6) 50%: 3 factors (including factor 6) 25%: 2 factors (including factor 6) 0%: 0-1 factors (or does not meet factor 6)	**NA**
CRITICAL FACTORS = Factors 3 and 4 **Element 4A: Care Planning and Self-Care Support** ***8 points*** The practice provides the following care management	**MUST-PASS** **Element 4B: Care Planning and Self-Care Support** ***4 points*** The care team and patient/family/caregiver collaborate (at relevant visits) to develop and update an individual care plan that includes	• General*:* PCSP Element 4A and PCMH Element 4B both evaluate whether the practice develops care plans, but factors do not align exactly because responsibilities between specialty practices and primary care practices differ.

and self-care support for practice-specific conditions: 1. Conducts pre-visit preparations. 2. Assesses patient risk status to identify patients needing additional support and services. 3. Collaborates with the patient/family/caregiver to develop and update a specialist's plan of care that includes patient's goals, potential barriers and self-care ability. (CRITICAL FACTOR) 4. Shares the specialist's plan of care, including recommendations for self-care support, with the PCP and the referring clinician. (CRITICAL FACTOR) 5. Gives the patient/family/caregiver the specialist's plan of care, including self-care recommendations. 6. Provides educational resources or refer patients/families/caregivers to assist in self-management. 7. Assesses and addresses potential barriers to meeting goals. 8. Uses an EHR to identify and provide patient-specific education resources to more than 10 percent of patients.+ **Documentation** • *Factors 1-7:* Documented process ***and*** three examples. • *Factor 8:* Report with numerator and denominator based on at least three months of data. **Scoring** 100%: 6-8 factors, including factors 3, 4 75%: 4-5 factors, including factors 3, 4 50%: 2-3 factors, including factors 3, 4 25%: No scoring option 0%: 0 factors	the following features for at least 75 percent of the patients identified in Element A: 1. Incorporates patient preferences and functional/lifestyle goals 2. Identifies treatment goals 3. Assesses and addresses potential barriers to meeting goals 4. Includes a self-management plan 5. Is provided in writing to the patient/family/caregiver **Documentation** • *Factors 1-5:* Report from electronic system submission ***OR*** Record Review Workbook. If using the Record Review Workbook examples are required demonstrating how each factor is documented. **Scoring** 75% of patients for each factor 100%: 5 factors 75%: 4 factors 50%: 3 factors 25%: 1-2 factors 0%: 0 factors	– *PCSP:* Does not specify that care team perform care management activities for at least 75% of patients identified in the previous elements. – *PCMH:* Specifies that care team perform care management activities for at least 75% of patients identified in the previous elements and that data be abstracted from the patient record for each factor and stated conditions.

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP 2016 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment	**PCMH 2014 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP 2016-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
MUST-PASS **Element 4B: Medication Management** ***6 points*** The practice has a process and demonstrates that it systematically manages medications prescribed by the practice in the following ways: 1. Reconciles medications for more than 50 percent of patients received from another care setting or at a relevant visit.+ 2. Provides information about new prescriptions from specialty practice to patients/families/caregivers. 3. Coordinates medication management with the PCP, referring clinician (if applicable) and patient/ family/caregiver. 4. Assesses patient/family/caregiver understanding of medications from specialty practice. 5. Assesses patient response to medications from specialty practice and barriers to adherence. 6. Documents nonprescription medications. **Documentation** • *Factor 1:* Report with numerator and denominator. • *Factors 2-6:* Documented process ***and*** three examples. **Scoring** 100%: 5-6 factors 75%: 4 factors 50%: 3 factors 25%: 2 factors 0%: 0-1 factors	**CRITICAL FACTOR = FACTOR 1** **Element 4C: Medication Management** ***4 points*** The practice has a process for managing medications, and systematically implements the process in the following ways: 1. Reviews and reconciles medications for more than 50 percent of patients received from care transitions+ 2. Reviews and reconciles medications with patients/ families for more than 80 percent of care transitions 3. Provides information about new prescriptions to more than 80 percent of patients/families/ caregivers 4. Assesses understanding of medications for more than 50 percent of patients/families/caregivers, and dates the assessment 5. Assesses patient response to medications and barriers to adherence for more than 50 percent of patients, and dates the assessment 6. Documents over-the-counter medications, herbal therapies and supplements for more than 50 percent of patients, and dates updates **Documentation** • *Factors 1-6:* Report from electronic system **OR** submission of Record Review Workbook. If using the Record Review Workbook examples are required demonstrating how each factor is documented. **Scoring** 100%: 5-6 factors (including factor 1) 75%: 3-4 factors (including factor 1) 50%: 2 factors (including factor 1) 25%: 1 factor (including factor 1) 0%: 0 factors (or does not meet factor 1)	• *General:* – *PCSP:* Medication management is only expected for medications prescribed by the specialty practice. • PCSP factor 1 aligns with PCMH factor 1. • PCSP factor 2 aligns with PCMH factor 3, with these differences: – *PCSP:* Provides information, but there is no minimum threshold. – *PCMH:* Provide information to more than 80 percent of patients/families/caregivers. • PCSP factor 3 has no PCMH equivalent. • PCSP factor 4 aligns with PCMH factor 4, with these differences: – *PCSP:* Assesses understanding of medications, but there is no minimum threshold. – *PCMH:* Assesses understanding of medications for more than 50 percent of patients/families/ caregivers with the date of the assessment. • PCSP factor 5 aligns with PCMH factor 5, with these differences: – *PCSP:* Assesses patient response to medications, but there is no minimum threshold. – *PCMH:* Assesses patient response to medications for more than 50 percent of patients/families/caregivers with the date of the assessment. • PCSP factor 6 aligns with PCMH factor 6, with these differences: – *PCSP:* Documents non-prescription medications, but there is no minimum threshold. *PCMH:* Documents over-the-counter medications, herbal therapies and supplements (i.e., non-prescription medications) for more than 50 percent of patients/families/ caregivers with dates of updates.

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP 2016 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment	**PCMH 2014 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP 2016-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
Element 4C: Use of Electronic Prescribing ***4 points*** The practice uses an electronic prescription system with the following capabilities: 1. At least 75 percent of eligible prescriptions are generated using the electronic prescribing system. 2. More than 50 percent of eligible prescriptions written by the practice are compared to drug formularies and sent to pharmacies electronically.+ 3. More than 60 percent of medication orders are entered into the medical record.+ 4. Performs patient-specific checks for drug-drug and drug-allergy interactions.+ 5. Prescription system alerts prescribers to generic alternatives. **Documentation** • *Factors 1-3:* Report with a numerator, denominator and a percentage based on at least three months of data. • *Factors 4, 5:* Screen shot demonstrating functionality. ***Note:*** *This element is NA for practices that do not prescribe medications. Points assigned to this element are redistributed to the other elements in Standard 4.* **Scoring** 100%: 3-5 factors 75%: 2 factors 50%: 1 factor 25%: No scoring option 0%: 0 factors	**Element 4D: Use Electronic Prescribing** **3** ***points*** The practice uses an electronic prescription system with the following capabilities. 1. More than 50 percent of eligible prescriptions written by the practice are compared to drug formularies and electronically sent to pharmacies+ 2. Enters electronic medication orders in the medical record for more than 60 percent of medications+ 3. Performs patient-specific checks for drug-drug and drug-allergy interactions+ 4. Alerts prescriber to generic alternatives **Documentation** • *Factor 1:* Screenshot displaying the formulary decision support mechanism used. • *Factors 1, 2:* Report with a numerator and denominator. • *Factors 3, 4: Report with numerator and denominator* ***or*** screen shots demonstrating the system's capabilities. **Scoring** 100%: 4 factors 75%: 3 factors 50%: 2 factors 25%: 1 factor 0%: 0 factors	• PCSP Element 4C, factor 1 has no PCMH equivalent. • PCSP Element 4C, factor 2 aligns with PCMH Element 4D, factor 1 • PCSP Element 4C, factor 3 aligns with PCMH Element 4D, factor 2 • PCSP Element 4C, factor 4 aligns with PCMH Element 4D, factor 3. • PCSP Element 4C, factor 5 aligns with PCMH Element 4D, factor 4.

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP 2016 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment	**PCMH 2014 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP 2016-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
PCMH Element 4E aligns with PCSP Element 4A	**Element 4E: Support Self-Care and Shared Decision Making** ***5 points*** The practice has, and demonstrates use of, materials to support patients and families/caregivers in self-management and shared decision making. The practice: 1. Uses an EHR to identify patient-specific education resources and provide them to more than 10 percent of patients+ 2. Provides educational materials and resources to patients 3. Provides self-management tools to record self-care results 4. Adopts shared decision making aids 5. Offers or refers patients to structured health education programs such as group classes and peer support 6. Maintains a current resource list on five topics or key community service areas of importance to the patient population including services offered outside the practice and its affiliates 7. Assesses usefulness of identified community resources. **Documentation** • *Factor 1:* Report showing percentage of patients provided educational resources. • *Factors 2-5:* For each factor, at least three examples of resources, tools or aids. • *Factor 6:* Materials demonstrating that the practice offers at least five resources. • *Factor 7:* Survey or materials showing how the practice collects information on the usefulness of referrals to community resources. **Scoring** 100%: 5-7 factors 75%: 4 factors	• PCMH Element 4E, factor 1 aligns with PCSP Element 4A, factor 8. • PCMH Element 4E, factors 2 and 3 align with PCSP Element 4A, factor 6. • PCMH Element 4E, factors 4-7 do not have a PCSP equivalent.

	50%: 3 factors 25%: 1-2 factors 0%: 0 factors	
Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP 2016 **+ Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCMH 2014** **+ Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP 2016-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
PCSP 5: Track and Coordinate Care The practice systematically tracks tests and referrals and coordinates care with the referring clinician and facilities. ***16 points***	**PCMH 5: Care Coordination and Care Transitions** The practice systematically tracks tests and coordinates care across specialty care, facility-based care and community organizations. ***18 points***	
MUST-PASS **CRITICAL FACTOR = Factor 2** **Element 5A: Test Tracking and Follow-Up** ***5 points*** The practice has a documented process for and demonstrates that it: 1. Requests and tracks receipt of test results from the PCP and referring clinician. 2. Provides the PCP and referring clinician with results of relevant tests ordered by the specialist. (CRITICAL FACTOR) 3. Tracks lab tests until results are available, flagging and following up on overdue results. 4. Tracks imaging tests until results are available, flagging and following up on overdue results. 5. Flags abnormal lab results, bringing them to the attention of the clinician. 6. Flags abnormal imaging results, bringing them to the attention of the clinician. 7. Notifies patients/families/caregivers about normal and abnormal lab and imaging test results. 8. Electronically records more than 30 percent of laboratory orders in the patient record.+ 9. Electronically records more than 30 percent of radiology orders in the patient record.+ 10. Incorporates clinical lab test results electronically into structured fields in the medical record. 11. Makes scans and tests that result in an image accessible electronically.	**CRITICAL FACTORS = FACTORS 1 AND 2** **Element 5A: Test Tracking and Follow-Up** **6 *points*** The practice has a documented process for and demonstrates that it: 1. Tracks lab tests until results are available, flagging and following up on overdue results 2. Tracks imaging tests until results are available, flagging and following up on overdue results 3. Flags abnormal lab results, bringing them to the attention of the clinician 4. Flags abnormal imaging results, bringing them to the attention of the clinician 5. Notifies patients/families of normal and abnormal lab and imaging test results 6. Follows up with the inpatient facility about newborn hearing and newborn blood-spot screening (NA for adults) 7. More than 30 percent of laboratory orders are electronically recorded in the patient record+ 8. More than 30 percent of radiology orders are electronically recorded in the patient record+ 9. Incorporates clinical lab test results electronically into structured fields in the medical record 10. Makes scans and test that result in an image accessible electronically **Documentation**	• PCSP Element 5A, factors 1 and 2 have no PCMH equivalent. • PCSP Element 5A, factor 3 aligns with PCMH Element 5A, factor 1. • PCSP Element 5A, factor 4 aligns with PCMH Element 5A, factor 2. • PCSP Element 5A, factor 5 aligns with PCMH Element 5A, factor 3. • PCSP Element 5A, factor 6 aligns with PCMH Element 5A, factor 4. • PCSP Element 5A, factor 7 aligns with PCMH Element 5A, factor 5. • PCSP Element 5A, factor 8 aligns with PCMH Element 5A, factor 7. • PCSP Element 5A, factor 9 aligns with PCMH Element 5A, factor 8. • PCSP Element 5A, factor 10 aligns with PCMH Element 5A, factor 9, except for the following difference: – *PCSP:* Factor language in PCSP has been updated to reflect changes in Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2; percentage threshold is not required. • PCSP Element 5A, factor 11 aligns with PCMH Element 5A, factor 10, except for the following difference. Requirements reflect Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 requirements released in October 2015. • PCMH factor 6 has no PCSP equivalent.

Documentation • *Factors 1-7:* Documented process, ***and*** report with 5 days of data or three examples of the process. • *Factors 8-9:* Report with a numerator and denominator based on at least three months of data. • *Factors 10-11*: Screen shot demonstrating use or capability. **Scoring** 100%: 5-7 factors, including factor 2 75%: 4 factors, including factor 2 50%: 3 factors, including factor 2 25%: 1-2 factors, including factor 2 0%: 0 factors or does not meet factor 2	• *Factors 1-6:* Documented process **AND** evidence showing how the process is met for each factor such as a report or log or examples (to receive credit for the factor the practice must show evidence across patients not just a single example). • *Factor 7-8:* Report based on at least three months of data with numerator, denominator and percent. • *Factor 9-10:* Example demonstrating capability ***or*** report based on at least three months of data with numerator, denominator and percent. **Scoring** 100%: 8-10 factors (including factors 1 and 2) 75%: 6-7 factors (including factors 1 and 2) 50%: 4-5 factors (including factors 1 and 2) 25%: 3 factors (including factors 1 and 2) 0%: 0-2 factors	

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment	**PCMH 2014 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
CRITICAL FACTOR = FACTOR 2 **Element 5B: Referral Tracking and Follow-Up** ***6 points*** The practice coordinates referrals to other (secondary) specialists by: 1. Consulting with PCP and referring clinician and patient/family/caregiver regarding secondary referrals. 2. Giving the specialist the clinical reason for the referral and pertinent clinical information. (CRITICAL FACTOR) 3. Tracking the status of the referral, including required timing for receiving a specialist's report. 4. Following up to obtain specialist's report. 5. Establishing and documenting agreements with specialists in the medical record, if co-management is needed. 6. Asking patients/families about self-referrals and requesting reports from clinicians. 7. Ensuring that the PCP and the original referring clinician are notified of the secondary referral results. 8. Demonstrating its capability to provide an electronic summary of care record to another provider following a referral. 9. Electronically transmitting a summary-of-care record to another care provider, for more than 10 percent of care referrals.+ 10. Demonstrating its capability for electronic exchange of information with a recipient that uses different EHR technology. **Documentation** • *Factors 1-4, 7:* Documented process ***and*** report or logs showing data collection in a tracking system. • *Factors 5, 6:* At least three examples. • *Factor 8:* At least one example.	**MUST-PASS** **CRITICAL FACTOR = FACTOR 8** **Element 5B: Referral Tracking and Follow-Up** ***6 points*** The practice: 1. Considers available performance information on consultants/specialists when making referral recommendations 2. Maintains formal and informal agreements with a subset of specialists based on established criteria 3. Maintains agreements with behavioral healthcare providers 4. Integrates behavioral healthcare providers within the practice site 5. Gives the consultant or specialist the clinical question, the required timing and the type of referral 6. Gives the consultant or specialist pertinent demographic and clinical data, including test results and the current care plan 7. Has the capacity for electronic exchange of key clinical information+ and provides an electronic summary of care record to another provider for more than 10 percent of referrals+ 8. Tracks referrals until the consultant or specialist's report is available, flagging and following up on overdue reports 9. Documents co-management arrangements in the patient's medical record 10. Asks patients/families about self-referrals and requesting reports from clinicians **Documentation** • *Factors 1,5,6,8:* Documented process and at least one example. • *Factor 2,3:* For each factor, the practice provides at least one example.	• *General:* Factors do not align exactly because responsibilities between specialty practices and primary care practices differ. • PCSP Element 5B, factor 1 has no PCMH equivalent. • PCSP Element 5B, factors 2 and 3; Element 1B, factor 3; and Element 1C, factors 1-6 align with PCMH Element 5B, factors 5, 6 and 8, with these differences: – *PCSP:* Gives the consultant or specialist pertinent clinical information. – *PCMH:* Gives consultant or specialist pertinent demographic and clinical data, including test results and the current care plan and flags and follows up on overdue reports. • PCSP Element 5B, factor 4 has no PCMH equivalent. • PCSP Element 5B, factor 5 aligns with PCMH Element 5B, factor 9, with these differences: – *PCSP:* Evaluates that a practice establishes and documents agreements with specialists in the medical record, if co-management is needed. – *PCMH:* Evaluates that a practice documents co-management arrangements in the medical record. • PCSP Element 5B, factor 6 aligns with PCMH Element 5B, factor 10. • PCSP Element 5B, factor 7 has no PCMH equivalent. • PCSP Element 5B, factors 8 and 10 partially align with PCMH Element 5B, factor 7, with these differences: – *PCSP:* Requires demonstration of capability to provide an electronic summary of care record. – *PCMH:* Requires an electronic summary of care records be sent to another provider for more than 10 percent of referrals. • PCSP factor 9 has no PCMH equivalent.

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment	**PCMH 2014 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
• *Factor 9:* Report with a numerator, denominator, and percentage from at least 3 months of referrals. • *Factor 10:* Screen shot showing capability. **Scoring** 100%: 8-10 factors, including factor 2 75%: 6-7 factors, including factor 2 50%: 4-5 factors, including factor 2 25%: 1-3 factors, including factor 2 0%: 0 factors	• *Factor 4:* Materials explaining how behavioral health is integrated with physical health. • *Factor 7:* Screen shot showing test of capability ***AND*** report with numerator, denominator and percent; 12 months of transitions, or 3 months if 12 months not available; provide a written explanation for NA. • *Factors 9 & 10:* The practice provides at least three examples. **Scoring** 100%: 9-10 factors (including factor 8) 75%: 7-8 factors(including factor 8) 50%: 4-6 factors (including factor 8) 25%: 2-3 factors (including factor 8) 0%: 0-1 factors (or does not meet factor 8)	
Element 5C: Coordinate Care Transitions ***5 points*** The practice supports patients who have an ongoing relationship with a specialist during acute care transitions. For these patients, the practice systematically: 1. Demonstrates its process for identifying patients with a hospital admission and patients with an emergency department visit 2. Demonstrates its process for sharing clinical information with admitting hospitals or emergency departments 3. Demonstrates its process for consistently obtaining patient discharge summaries from the hospital and other facilities 4. Demonstrates its capability to provide an electronic summary of care record to another facility following a transition of care 5. Electronically transmits a summary of care record to another care setting for more than 10 percent of care transitions+ **Documentation**	**Element 5C: Coordinate Care Transitions** ***6 points*** The practice: 1. Proactively identifies patients with unplanned hospital admissions and emergency department visits 2. Shares clinical information with admitting hospitals and emergency departments 3. Consistently obtains patient discharge summaries from the hospital and other facilities 4. Proactively contacts patients/families for appropriate follow-up care within an appropriate period following a hospital admission or emergency department visit 5. Exchanges patient information with the hospital during a patient's hospitalization 6. Obtains proper consent for release of information and has a process for secure exchange of information and for coordination of care with community partners 7. Exchanges key clinical information with facilities and provides an electronic summary-of-care record to	• *General:* – *PCSP:* Evaluates the practice on conditions managed by the specialist – *PCMH:* Evaluates the practice. • PCSP Element 5C, factor 1 aligns with PCMH Element 5C, factor 1 with these differences: – *PCSP:* Evaluates the process for identifying patients with a hospital admission and emergency department visit. – *PCMH:* Evaluates the process for proactively identifying patients with unplanned hospital admissions and emergency department visits. • PCSP Element 5C, factors 2 and 3 align with PCMH Element 5C, factors 2 and 3. • PCSP Element 5C, factor 4 has no PCMH equivalent. • PCSP Element 5C, factor 5 partially aligns with PCMH Element 5C, factor 7, with this difference: – *PCSP:* Requires demonstration of capability to provide an electronic summary of care record.

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment	**PCMH 2014 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
• *Factors 1-3:* Documented process and three examples. • *Factor 4:* At least one example. • *Factor 5:* Report with a numerator, denominator, and percentage from at least 3 months of transitions and referrals. **Scoring** 100%: 4-5 factors 75%: 3 factors 50%: 2 factors 25%: 1 factor 0%: 0 factors	another care facility for more than 10 percent of patient transitions of care+ **Documentation** • *Factor 1:* Dated documented process to identify patients and log or report. • *Factors 2-6:* Dated documented process. • *Factor 2-4:* For each factor, three examples. • *Factor 5,6:* For each factor, one example. • *Factor 7:* Screen shot showing test of capability **AND** report with numerator, denominator and percent; 12 months of transitions, or 3 months if 12 months not available; provide a written explanation for NA. **Scoring** 100%: 7 factors 75%: 5-6 factors 50%: 3-4 factors 25%: 1-2 factors 0% : 0 factors	– *PCMH:* Requires an electronic summary of care records be sent to another provider for more than 10 percent of care transitions. • PCSP Element 5C, factor 9 has no PCMH equivalent. • PCSP Element 5C, factor 6 has no PCMH equivalent. • PCMH Element 5C, factors 4 and 5 have no PCSP equivalent.
PCSP 6: Measure and Improve Performance The practice uses performance data to identify opportunities for improvement and acts to improve clinical quality, efficiency and patient experience. ***16 points***	**PCMH 6: Performance Measurement and Quality Improvement** The practice uses performance data to identify opportunities for improvement and acts to improve clinical quality, efficiency and patient experience. ***20 points***	
Element 6A: Measure Performance ***4 points*** The practice measures or receives data on: 1. At least three clinical measures related to the practice specialty 2. Coordination of care results 3. At least two utilization measures affecting health care costs 4. Performance data stratified for vulnerable populations (to assess disparities in care)	**Element 6A: Measure Clinical Quality Performance** ***3 points*** At least annually, the practice measures or receives data on: 1. At least two immunization measures 2. At least two other preventive care measures 3. At least three chronic or acute care clinical measures 4. Performance data stratified for vulnerable populations (to assess disparities in care).	• *General:* Factors do not align exactly because responsibilities between specialty practices and primary care practices differ. • *General:* PCSP Element 6A stem aligns with PCMH Element 6A stem, with these differences: – *PCSP:* Evaluates the practice measures or receives data. – *PCMH:* Evaluates the practice measures or receives data at least annually.

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment	**PCMH 2014 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
5. Timely access to appointments based on established criteria **Documentation** *Factors 1-5:* Reports showing performance. **Scoring** 100%: 4-5 factors 75%: 3 factors 50%: 1-2 factors 25%: No scoring option 0%: 0 factors	**Documentation** *If this element is selected for the Multi-site Corporate Survey Tool, you must provide a report with data specified for each individual site in corporate tool.* • *Factors 1-4:* Reports showing performance. **Scoring** 100%: 4 factors 75%: 3 factors 50%: 2 factors 25%: 1 factor 0%: 0 factors	• PCSP Element 6A, factor 1 aligns with PCMH Element 6A, factors 1-3, with these differences: – *PCSP:* Evaluates the practice measures or receives data on at least three clinical measures related to the practice specialty. – *PCMH:* Evaluates the practice measures or receives data on at least immunization measures, two other preventive care measures and at least three chronic or acute care clinical measures. • PCSP Element 6A, factor 2 has no PCMH equivalent. • PCSP Element 6A, factor 4 aligns with PCMH Element 6A, factor 4. • PCSP Element 6A, factor 5 has no PCMH equiivalent
	Element 6B: Measure Resource Use and Care Coordination ***3 points*** At least annually, the practice measures or receives quantitative data on: 1. At least two measures related to care coordination 2. At least two measures affecting health care costs **Documentation** *If this element is selected for the Multi-site Corporate Survey Tool, you must provide a report with data specified for each individual site in corporate tool.* • *Factors 1-2:* Reports showing performance. **Scoring** 100%: 2 factors 75%: No scoring option 50%: 1 factor 25%: No scoring option 0%: 0 factors	• *General:* Factors do not align exactly because responsibilities between specialty practices and primary care practices differ. • *General:* – *PCSP:* Evaluates the practice measures or receives data. – *PCMH:* Evaluates the practice measures or receives quantitative data at least annually. • PCSP Element 6A, factor 3 aligns with PCMH Element 6B, factor 2

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment	**PCMH 2014 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
Element 6B: Measure Patient/Family Experience ***4 points*** The practice obtains feedback from patients/families on their experiences with the practice and their care. 1. The practice conducts a survey (using any instrument) to evaluate patient/family experiences on at least three of the following categories: • Access. • Communication. • Coordination. • Self-management support. 2. The practice uses the CAHPS Clinician & Group (CG) Survey Tool. 3. The practice obtains feedback on experiences of vulnerable patient groups. 4. The practice obtains feedback from patients/families through qualitative means. **Documentation** • *Factors 1-4:* Reports showing performance. **Scoring** 100%: 3-4 factors 75%: No scoring option 50%: 2 factors 25%: 1 factor 0%: 0 factors	**Element 6C**: **Measure Patient/Family Experience** ***4 points*** At least annually, the practice obtains feedback from patients/families on their experiences with the practice and their care. 1. The practice conducts a survey (using any instrument) to evaluate patient/family experiences on at least three of the following categories: • Access • Communication • Coordination • Whole person care/self-management support 2. The practice uses the PCMH version of the CAHPS Clinician & Group Survey Tool 3. The practice obtains feedback on experiences of vulnerable patient groups 4. The practice obtains feedback from patients/families through qualitative means **Documentation** *If this element is selected for the Multi-site Corporate Survey Tool, you must provide a report with data specified for each individual site in corporate tool.* • *Factors 1-4:* Reports showing results of patient feedback. **Scoring** 100%: 4 factors 75%: 3 factors 50%: 2 factors 25%: 1 factor 0%: 0 factors	• *General:* – *PCSP:* Evaluates the practice obtains feedback from patients/families. measures or receives data. – *PCMH:* Evaluates the practice obtains feedback from patients/families at least annually. • PCSP Element 6B, factor 1 aligns with PCMH Element 6C, factor 1, with this difference: – *PCSP:* Omits "whole person care" from the "self-management support" category option. • PCSP Element 6B, factor 2 aligns with PCMH Element 6C, factor 2. • PCMH Element 6C, factors 3 and 4 align with PCSP Element 6B, factors 3 and 4.

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment	**PCMH 2014 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
MUST-PASS **Element 6C: Implement & Demonstrate Continuous Quality Improvement** ***4 points*** The practice demonstrates ongoing monitoring of the effectiveness of its quality improvement process by: 1. Setting goals and acting to improve on at least three clinical quality or utilization measures. 2. Setting goals and acting to improve coordination with primary care. 3. Setting goals and acting to improve quality on at least one patient experience measure. 4. Setting goals and acting to improve timeliness of patient access. 5. Setting goals and addressing at least one identified disparity in care/service for vulnerable populations. 6. Tracking results over time. 7. Assessing the effect of its actions. 8. Achieving improved performance on one measure. 9. Achieving improved performance on a second measure. **Documentation** • *Factors 1-9:* Reports, or completed Quality Measurement and Improvement Worksheet. **Scoring** 100%: 7-9 factors 75%: 5-6 factors 50%: 3-4 factors 25%: 2 factors 0%: 0-1 factors	**MUST-PASS** **Element 6D: Implement Continuous Quality Improvement** ***4 points*** The practice uses an ongoing quality improvement process to: 1. Set goals and analyze at least three clinical quality measures from Element A 2. Act to improve at least three clinical quality measures from Element A 3. Set goals and analyze at least one measure from Element B 4. Act to improve at least one measure from Element B 5. Set goals and analyze at least one patient experience measure from Element C 6. Act to improve at least one patient experience measure from Element C 7. Set goals and address at least one identified disparity in care/service for identified vulnerable populations **Documentation** *Factors 1-7:* Report or completed PCMH Quality Measurement and Improvement Worksheet. **Scoring** 100%: 7 factors 75%: 6 factors 50%: 5 factors 25%: 1-4 factors 0%: 0 factors	• *General* – *PCSP:* Evaluates that practices demonstrate ongoing monitoring of the effectiveness of their improvement process. – *PCMH:* Evaluates that practices use an ongoing quality improvement process. • PCSP Element 6C, factor 1 aligns with PCMH Element 6D, factors 1-4, with these differences: – *PCSP:* Specifies measures must be 3 clinical quality or utilization measures and evaluates whether the practice sets goals and acts to improve on at least three clinical quality or utilization measures. – *PCMH:* Allows any 3 measures from Element A, which includes immunization measures, other preventive care measures and chronic or acute care clinical measures and at least 1 measure from Element B and evaluates whether the practice sets goals, analyzes (factors 1 and 3) and acts to improve (factors 2 and 4) on at least three measures from Element A and one measure from Element B. • PCSP Element 6C, factor 3 aligns with PCMH Element 6D, factors 5 and 6, with these differences: – *PCSP:* Evaluates whether the practice sets goals and acts to improve at least one patient experience measure. – *PCMH:* Evaluates whether the practice sets goals, analyzes (factor 5) and acts to improve (factor 6) on at least one patient experience measure from Element C. • PCSP Element 6C, factors 2, 4 and 6 have no PCMH equivalent.

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment	**PCMH 2014 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
		• PCSP Element 6C, factor 5 aligns with PCMH factor 7, with these differences: – *PCMH:* Evaluates disparity in care/service for vulnerable populations. Does not include the term "identified." – *PCMH:* Evaluates disparity in care/service for vulnerable populations identified.
	Element 6E: Demonstrate Continuous Quality Improvement ***3 points*** The practice demonstrates continuous quality improvement by: 1. Measuring the effectiveness of the actions it takes to improve the measures selected in Element D 2. Achieving improved performance on at least two clinical quality measures 3. Achieving improved performance on one utilization or care coordination measure 4. Achieving improved performance on at least one patient experience measure **Documentation** *Factors 1-4:* Reports showing measures analysis of results over time, recognition results or completed Quality Measurement and Improvement Worksheet. **Scoring** 100%: 4 factors 75%: 3 factors 50%: 2 factors 25%: 1 factor 0%: 0 factors	• PCSP 6C, factor 7 aligns with PCMH 6E, factor 1, with these differences: – *PCSP:* Evaluates whether the practice assesses the effect of its actions. – *PCMH:* Evaluates whether the practice measures the effectiveness of the actions taken to improve the measures selected in Element D. • PCSP 6C, factors 8 and 9 partially aligns with PCMH 6E, factors 2-4, with these differences: – *PCSP:* Evaluates whether the practice achieves improved performance on two measures. – *PCMH:* Evaluates whether the practice achieves improved performance on at least two clinical quality measures (factor 2), one utilization or care coordination level (factor 3) and at least one patient experience measures (factor 4).

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment	**PCMH 2014 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
Element 6D: Report Performance ***2 points*** The practice shares performance data from Element A and Element B: 1. Within the practice, by individual clinician. 2. Within the practice, across the practice. 3. Outside the practice to patients or publicly, across the practice or by clinician. **Documentation** • *Factors 1, 2:* Blinded reports ***and*** explanation of how results are provided. • *Factor 3:* Example of reporting to patients or the public. **Scoring** 100%: 3 factors 75%: 2 factors 50%: 1 factor 25%: No scoring option 0%: 0 factors	**Element 6F: Report Performance** ***3 points*** The practice produces performance data reports using measures from Elements A, B and C and shares: 1. Individual clinician performance results with the practice 2. Practice-level performance results with the practice 3. Individual clinician or practice-level performance results publicly 4. Individual clinician or practice-level performance results with patients **Documentation** • *Factors 1, 2:* Reports (blinded) showing summary data by clinician and across the practice shared with the practice and description of how the results are shared. • *Factor 3, 4:* Example of reporting. **Scoring** 100%: 3-4 factors 75%: 2 factors 50%: 1 factor 25%: No scoring option 0%: 0 factors	• PCSP factor 1 aligns with PCMH factor 1. • PCSP factor 2 aligns with PCMH factor 2. • PCSP factor 3 has split into PCMH factor 3 and factor 4.
Element 6E: Use Certified EHR Technology ***2 Points*** 1. The practice uses an EHR system (or modules) that has been certified and issued a CMS Certification ID.++ (CRITICAL FACTOR) 2. The practice attests to conducting a security risk analysis of its EHR system (or modules) and implementing security updates as necessary and correcting identified security deficiencies.+	**Element 6G**: **Use Certified EHR Technology** ***Not Scored*** The practice uses a certified EHR system 1. The practice uses an EHR system (or modules) that has been certified and issued a CMS certification ID++ 2. The practice to conducts a security risk analysis of its EHR system (or modules), implements security updates as necessary and corrects identified security deficiencies+	• *General*: PCSP 6E is worth 2 points if the practice meets factor 1, but PCMH 6G is not scored. • PCSP factors 1-7 aligns with PCMH factors 1-7. – PCMH factor 10 aligns with PCSP 3C with slight differences: PCSP does not require a percentage threshold and are specific to care provided by the specialty

Standard/Element/Factor		
PCSP + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment	**PCMH 2014 + Meaningful Use Modified Stage 2 Alignment**	**PCSP-PCMH 2014 Alignment**
3. The practice demonstrates the capability to submit electronic syndromic surveillance data to public health agencies electronically.+ 4. The practice demonstrates the capability to report cancer cases to a public health central cancer registry electronically.+ 5. The practice demonstrates the capability to report specific cases to a specialized registry electronically (other than a cancer registry).+ 6. The practice reports clinical quality measures to Medicare or Medicaid agency, as required for Meaningful Use.+ 7. The practice demonstrates the capability to submit electronic data to immunization registries or immunization information systems.+ ***Note:*** *Factor 1 requires entering the CHPL number(s) in NCQA's Web-based survey tool.* **Documentation** • *Factors 1-7*: The practice attests and provides examples. **Scoring** 100%: The practice meets factor 1 75%-25%: No scoring option 0%: The practice does not meet factor 1	3. The practice demonstrates the capability to submit electronic syndromic surveillance data to public health agencies electronically+ 4. The practice demonstrates the capability to identify and report cancer cases to a public health central cancer registry electronically+ 5. The practice demonstrates the capability to identify and report specific cases to a specialized registry (other than a cancer registry) electronically+ 6. The practice reports clinical quality measures to Medicare or Medicaid agency, as required for Meaningful Use++ 7. The practice demonstrates the capability to submit data to immunization registries or immunization information systems electronically+ 8. The practice has access to a health information exchange. 9. The practice has bidirectional exchange with a health information exchange 10. The practice generates lists of patients, and based on their preferred method of communication, proactively reminds more than 10 percent of patients/families/caregivers about needed preventive/follow-up care ***This element is for data collection purposes only and will not be scored.***	